



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,503	05/23/2006	Adriaan Johan Van Leest	NL 031360	2328
24737	7590	07/10/2008	EXAMINER	
PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			AVERY, JEREMIAH L	
P.O. BOX 3001				
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2131	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,503	VAN LEEST ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
JEREMIAH AVERY	2131	

All Participants:

Status of Application: Abandoned

(1) Jeremiah Avery. (3) ____.

(2) Larry Liberchuk. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 1 July 2008

Time: 5:00pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Mr. Liberchuck on 6/25/08, 6/26/08 and 6/30/08 regarding the status of this application due to over six months having passed since the mailing of the last office action. A voicemail was left each time inquiring about the status, but no response was given to the Examiner. The Examiner informed Mr. Liberchuk that if no response was given by the close of business on July 1st, a Notice of Abandonment would be sent out regardless. At this time, no response has been given by Mr. Liberchuk.